

## Review

# An Introduction to Derrida, Deconstruction and Post-Structuralism

R.Gnanasekaran

Assistant Professor, Department of English, IFET College of Engineering, Gangarampalayam, South India.

Accepted 15 July 2015

Jacques Derrida is an Algerian born French phenomenologist, who is no doubt understood for his theory of deconstruction. He got his honorary doctorate in 1992. His prominence is ascribed to the obscure, troublesome, difficult language and complex style in his expositions. Deconstruction, defined by the French philosopher Jacques Derrida, is a post-structuralist movement. It is a method for perusing which uncovers the inconsistencies and mysteries in the consistent structures of philosophical and artistic writings. This method is utilized as a part of the exploration as an apparatus to critically break down the deconstructive procedures that a writer has utilized in some of his works. The exposition gives a short prologue to Derrida, Deconstruction and Post-structuralism.

**Key Words:** Derrida, Deconstruction, Post-Structuralism, Phenomenology, Literary Theory, Literary criticism.

**Cite This Article As:** Gnanasekaran R (2015). An Introduction to Derrida, Deconstruction and Post-Structuralism. Inter. J. Eng. Lit. Cult. 3(7): 211-214

## INTRODUCTION

### FROM EL-BIAR TO PARIS:

Jacques Derrida was born at El-Biar close to French Algiers in 1930. In 1949, he went to Paris where he did his studies at the Lycee Louis-le-Grand and Ecole Normale Superieur. He was a devoted and also a brilliant student of Jean Hyppolite and Michel Foucault. Later he taught at the ENS as maitre-colleague until he turned into the directeur d'etudes at the Ecole des Hautes Etudes en Sciences Sociales in 1984.

### LAUNCHING DECONSTRUCTION:

In 1967 Derrida became very famous through his publication of three major critical works which pulled in universal consideration: *Voice and Phenomenon* and *Other Essays on Husserl's Theory of Signs, Of Grammatology, and Writing and Difference*.

*Of Grammatology* speaks about the privilege of speech over writing, *Writing and Difference* talks about different original scholars in the fields of History, Philosophy, and Art. In *Voice and Phenomenon*, Derrida contends the craving for outright truth in the flaws of language.

Since the availability of these three major books, although exceedingly powerful, he has been in discussion for his philosophical and influential theories on deconstruction.

*Dissemination, Margins of Philosophy, The Truth in Painting, Of Spirit: Heidegger and the Question, The Rhetoric of Drugs, Points, Positions, Acts of Literature, Acts of Religion, Glas, The Postcard, Specters of Marx, The Gift of Death, and Politics of Friendship* are some of his other eminent books which spread the idea of deconstruction. Derrida was recompensed an honorary doctorate degree from the hands of Chancellor of the Cambridge University Prince Philip. He passed away at the age of 74 in 2004.

Derrida's works have dependably had a tendency to very fundamentally political, moral, legitimate, and social issues, making him a key figure in fields outside of the academics.

The word deconstruction is gotten from the French verb "deconstruire," connoting to undo the improvement of or the development of, to take to pieces. Deconstruction is a system that incorporates all other related necessities of building radially and tenaciously, and/ or contains both obliteration and improvement in itself giving space for the illumination that there is no destruction without advancement and the other way around.

As detailed by the French philosopher, the hypothesis is a central critique of certain intellectual and scholarly suppositions that underlie all Western ideas and values. It concentrates on the innate, interior inconsistencies in language and elucidation. The deconstructive hypothesis neither has an idea nor is a type of examination. It is a procedure of deconstructing the text. As indicated by Derrida, in deconstructing the content of the text, the structure is efficiently debilitated so as to be fathomed all the more plainly and to uncover its backings as well as that mystery put in which it is neither development nor destroy but inconvenience or hindrance 'of' or 'for' something.

In deconstruction the significance is neither before nor after, or neither inside nor outside of the text. Toward one side, the figural language of writings and expressions of the human experience brings the uncertainty between the genuine and the implied measurements. To put it in other words, the unending bind of signifiers prompts to no conclusion of the text. It is possible only through the chain of signifiers. In fact the chain of signifiers is always the chain of the signifiers but that can never become the absolute signified. Deconstructive examination enrolls a few systems and terms analyze logo centrism which has a tendency to produce or give the last intending to a particular text.

### **A PROLOGUE TO POST-STRUCTURALISM:**

A prologue to the post-structuralism without the notice of the Yale scholars is inadequate. Yale scholars are a group of critics who were connected with deconstruction in the 1970s and '80s which included Paul de Man, J. Hillis Miller, and Geoffrey Hartman.

Along these lines, post-structuralism is a late 20th century scholarly development of linguistic, philosophical and social studies that picked up another measurement with Jacques Derrida's presentation of the idea of deconstruction. The Yale scholars were firmly connected to the theory of deconstruction. Deconstruction mainly concentrates on the inconsistencies in language and interpretations.

This area presents post-structuralism, its development

and significance in the zone of literary and cultural studies, and the idea of deconstruction. It additionally gives a brief record of the life and master pieces of Jacques Derrida, who is the founder of deconstruction movement.

Post-structuralism is a movement in social sciences that developed in France in the late 1960s. It is the result of both the structuralist period of examining sign and structure, and the humanist paradigm of concentrating on the texts, the writers, the readers, and histories. Jacques Derrida gave the essential establishing to the hypothesis of deconstruction with his address *Structure, Sign and Play in the Discourse of the Human Sciences* in 1966. In light of this, different post-structuralists propounded their hypotheses. For instance Jacques Lacan for psychoanalysis, Michel Foucault in philosophy, Roland Barthes in semiotics, Julia Kristeva in social criticism, Jean-Francois Lyotard in political hypothesis, and Jacques Derrida with his deconstruction hypothesis' are the most 'praised experts' of the development.

### **WHO CAN BE A DECONSTRUCTIONIST?**

Literary theory is an assemblage of thoughts and a method of observing literary writings. Scholarly theories do not allude to the significance and referents of a literary work yet to the theories that express what the author could mean. It is an apparatus or an ordinance by which one endeavours to comprehend a literature. One can decipher and evaluate a literature on the premise of theories. It is theories that help the readers in analysing the relationship between the creator and the work. Several critics frequently give the estimation of a specific literature taking into account scholarly ordinances, tools and hypotheses and mention judgments through objective fact as a piece of literary criticism.

This area clarifies the part of a deconstructionist and his role in examining the text. A deconstructionist participates in the assignment of recognizing the unconscious measurement of the text, instead of the cognizant or conscious measurement. Derrida considers the text to be the subject and object of investigation.

Verbal signs, parallel contrary energies, word play, metaphors, allegories, allusions and implications found in the selected text make reading and deciphering entangled to the deconstructionist. The deconstructionist embarks to demonstrate that clashing powers inside of the particular itself serve to scatter the appearing definiteness of its structure and implications into an inconclusive exhibit of contradictory and undecidable possibilities. A deconstructionist has the firm conviction that no single and right significance can be agreed to the content of the text. Plus, the impact of the outside world has its own particular effect on the text by the author. This implies that the content may be a composite of

different inside inconsistencies, discontinuities, and irregularities. Inner disagreements may be as paradoxes; discontinuities as crevices, gap, tense, time, individual, or state of mind; and irregularities in pluri-dimensional.

The deconstructionist accepts four parts. He is now and again a reader of the text, a decipherer, an investigator, and at some different times, a correct critic. The deconstructive reader is an eyewitness who is materially outside the content, however purposefully included inside of the text. He always moves and receives his position to the differing points found in the text and goes to an agreement of the significance inferred or determined. Derrida's depiction of deconstructive perusing is that the deconstructionist as a reader must go for a certain relationship, unperceived by the author, between what he orders and what he doesn't charge of the structures of language that he utilizes. That is, the reader of the text recognizes certain crevices or blanks or blind spots and tops them off by bringing the different social, memorable, and social standards applicable to the content before deciphering the text. He unites the language of the text, history, the idea of structure and phenomena of style. In this try, he derives a few deconstructive components while understanding and deciphering literary texts.

As a decipherer, he needs to hold the deconstructive methodology of delivering the content instead of repeating what the author thought and communicated in the book. That is, the decipherer takes part in grouping implications, perceiving outlines, uninterrupted orders and equivalents.

In structure, which alludes to space, geometric or morphological space, the patterns of structures and areas, the deconstructive investigator finds in it the structure of a natural or simulated work, the inside solidarity of a cluster, a development, the binding together proposition in the work, and the structural construction that is assembled and made seen in an area. As it were, as a researcher, the deconstructionist must search for reasons for disunity in the textual content at the verbal and semantic. He finds both literary and theoretical methods that the author had embraced to express his thoughts and / or add to the plot by utilizing some unfamiliar tools or devices. The deconstructionist needs to examine the opposing components or paradoxical patterns or contradictory connections in a textual content until they achieve an aporia, the time when the textual content's conflicting implications are indicated to be beyond reconciliation, showing the indeterminacy of significance.

As a critic or a reader, the deconstructionist comprehends that significance of the text is vast. He finds the inconsistencies in the utilization of the words or the structures of sentences. It is not just the surface components of the words that the reader acts upon so as to highlight their significance in the content, additionally focus clashes and conflicts, deficiencies, exclusions,

linguistic characteristics, and aporia while breaking down the content of the text by the author.

Albeit all the four parts have different capacities, they are all coordinated to the normal target, that is, to deconstruct the content of the text. Deconstructionists can't expect one and only standard part for themselves. They need to capacity on different parts. Now and again the deconstructionist must be a reader, and at different times, a decipherer, an examiner, or a critic. In view of the deconstructive procedure talked about in the text, in this research the deconstructionist gives the reaction of a reader, the depiction of a decipherer, the investigation of an analyst, and / or the perceptions of a critic.

In this manner, the deconstructionist expects four parts. The reader of the text sees certain relationship in the sequences of language that the author has utilized without having unequivocally aware of it. The interpreter is required to deconstruct and not recreate, rebuild, reconstruct the content of the text. The examiner re-reads the content to examine every entry seriously and completes a regulated examination to recognize the inside inconsistency, discontinuities, and irregularities. The critic re-reads the text against itself to draw out the unconsciousness of the text. The deconstructionist fixes the surface components of words and conveys them to the front area founding up their significance or need in the general play of work of art. Nonetheless, in this thesis the deconstructionist researcher accepts all the major parts of being a reader, an interpreter, an examiner, an investigator, an evaluator and a critic, at different points.

## CONCLUSION

In this exposition the thought has been comprehensively grouped into three - Derrida, Deconstruction and Post-Structuralism in light of the level of critical or theoretical matter it contains. The style of Derrida's works is another intriguing territory of exploration wherein the systems like dissemination and difference which he has utilized can be talked about. The essay gives hand to Derrida's contention that there can be a concurrence of more than a deconstructive component to clarify the content of the text.

## REFERENCES

- Allison, David B. 'Destruction/Deconstruction in the Text of Nietzsche.' *Boundary 2*, 8(1), 1979.
- , ed., *The New Nietzsche*. Cambridge, MIT Press, 1985.
- Almond, Ian. *Sufism and Deconstruction: A Comparative Study of Derrida and IbnArabi*. London: Routledge, 2004.
- Bennington, Geoff and Jacques Derrida. *Jacques Derrida*. Paris: Seuil, 1991.

- Bloom, Harold. *et al. Deconstruction and Criticism*. New York, Seabury/ Continuum, 1979.
- Caputo, John D. ed., *Deconstruction in a Nutshell: A Conversation with Jacques Derrida*. New York: Fordham University Press, 1997.
- Colebrook, Claire. *Jacques Derrida: Key Concepts*. London: Acumen Publishing. Coward, Harold G. and Toby Foshay, eds., 1992. *Derrida and Negative Theology*. Albany, NY: SUNY Press, 2013.
- Cutrofello, Andrew. *Continental Philosophy: A Contemporary Introduction*. New York: Routledge, 2005.
- Eagleton, Terry. *Literary Theory: An Introduction*. Minneapolis, University of Minnesota Press; Oxford, Basil Blackwell, 1984.
- Glendinning, Simon, *Derrida: A Very Short Introduction*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2011.